World Airline Safety: The Century So Far



How safe is it to fly?

Well, how should we measure aviation safety?

Given that a passenger's greatest fear is of being killed in a plane crash, there is a natural interest in statistics about the likelihood of that outcome.

An NTSB statistic:

fatal accidents per 100,000 flight hours

Two problems with the ratio fatal accidents per 100,000 flight hours:

The numerator and the denominator!

• The generic term "fatal accident" blurs the distinction between a crash that kills one passenger out of 300 and another that kills 300 out of 300.

• Measuring activity by "flying hours" misses the point that most accidents occur on landing or takeoff.

What about hull losses per 100,000 departures?

(This is a popular one.)

Consider two hull losses in 2000:

• Southwest Airlines, Boeing 737, Burbank, CA

Passengers on board: 137

Passengers killed:

• Alaska Airlines, MD-80, off Los Angeles

Passengers on Board: 83

Passengers Killed: 83

No difference?

Why not the simple ratio "passengers killed to passengers carried?"

Measure of Safety Performance Over a Past Period:

Death Risk Per Randomly Chosen Flight

Question:

If a person chooses a flight at random from among those of interest (e.g. UK domestic jet flights over the period 1990-99), what is the probability that she will not survive it?

This death risk per flight statistic has conceptual advantages compared to the other statistics just discussed.

What Conceptual Advantages?

• Ignores length and duration of flight, which are virtually unrelated to mortality risk

• Weights each crash by the percentage of passengers killed

Easy to calculate and understand

First-World Domestic Jet Services

Death Risk per Flight, 1990-99:

1 in 13 million

At this level of risk, a citizen is 2.5 times as likely to win the *jackpot* in the Mass Millions lottery as to perish on her next flight.

(This comparison brought scant comfort to nervous air travelers.)

At a mortality risk of 1 in 13 million per flight, a passenger who took one flight per day would on average travel for 36,000 years before dying in a plane crash.

Passenger Mortality Risk for Various World-wide Jet Services, 1990-99

Type of Service Death Risk per Flight

First-World Domestic 1 in 13 million

International within
First World 1 in 6 million

International Between First
And Developing Worlds

1 in 1 million

Within Developing World 1 in 500,000

But what about the first half decade in this century (2000-2004)?

(Funny you should ask.)

Accidental Death Risk Per Flight for Domestic Jet Services, 2000-2004

United States

(!!)

Rest of First World

(!!)

(70 million flights performed)

Accidental Death Risk for Various World-Wide Jet Services, 2000-2004

Type of Service Death Risk per Flight

First-World Domestic absolute zero

International within

First World 1 in 7 million

International Between First

And Developing Worlds 1 in 1.5 million

Within Developing World 1 in 1.5 million

(A world of improvement!)

What about Prop Planes?

Death Risk per Flight, First-World Domestic:

1990-99

1 in 2.5 million

2000-04

1 in 5 million

Overall Death Risk per Jet Flight, 2000-04

First World Carriers million

1 in 20

Developing-World Carriers 1 in 1.5 million

Does this difference mean that, given a choice between flying a First World airline and a Developing World one, we should opt for the former?

Death Risk per Jet Flight Between First World City and Developing World City On Two Groups of Airlines, 2000-04

First-World Carrier

1 in 1.5 million

Developing-World Carrier

1 in 1.5 million

Thus, on the routes on which First and Developing World airlines compete, the difference in their safety records withers away.

Of course:

We lost it all on a Tuesday in September.

Overall Death Risk per Domestic US Jet Flight

1990-99

1 in 15 million

2000-04

1 in 10 million

All the safety gains over 2000-04 were erased when four planes crashed in the 9/11 catastrophe.

So, where are we?